

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/526,110	SIEGEL ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DANIEL KOLKER	1649	

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel Kolker (USPTO)

(2) Nathan Cassell (attorney for applicant)

**Status of Application:** Allowable after Exmr Amdt

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 15 October 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

**Claims discussed:**

1,3,17,27,29,37

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*On 14 October 2009 examiner faxed proposed amendments to Mr. Cassell. On 15 October 2009 Mr. Cassell called and indicated that the proposed changes were acceptable. Copies of the language agreed upon is in the accompanying office action.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)